PATENT Attorney Docket No. 76705-201201/US

Remarks/Arguments

The foregoing amendment and the following arguments are provided generally to impart

precision to the claims, by more particularly pointing out the invention, rather than to avoid prior

art.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §101

The Office Action rejected claims 77-94 (of which claims 77, 80-81, 83-86, 89-90 and

93-34 are currently pending) under 35 U.S.C. §101 as being directed to non-statutory subject

matter. Applicant has amended claims 77, 80-81, 83-86, 89-90 and 93-94 accordingly. In

addition, Applicant has deleted the reference to non-statutory subject matter in paragraph 2 on

page 20 of the specification.

CONCLUSION

It is respectfully submitted that all of the Examiner's objections have been successfully

traversed and that the application is now in order for allowance. Accordingly, reconsideration of

the application and allowance thereof is courteously solicited.

If there are any fees due, please charge them to our Deposit Account No. 50-2638.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: March 27, 2007 /John P. Ward/

John P. Ward

Reg. No. 40,216

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
CUSTOMER NUMBER 56188

1900 University Avenue, Fifth Floor

East Palo Alto, CA 94303 Phone: (650) 328-8500

Fax: (650) 328-8508

E-Mail: wardj@gtlaw.com

16